

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/423,712

Applicant(s)

NAWROTH ET AL.

Examiner

Q. Janice Li

Art Unit

1632

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) Deborah Yellin, Appl. Rep..

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 June 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 1st & 2nd paragraphs

Claims discussed:

all claims under examination, specifically 7, 20, 21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The specification and the response of paper #15 support using a plasmid vector, not a viral vector; and administering an exogenous nucleic acid, not inducing the TF expression in general. Ms. Yellin agreed to discuss the issues with applicants if they can be timely reached..